

# Exhibit #5

**From:** Steve Gartin  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 13, 2004 5:48 PM  
**To:** Judith  
**Cc:** Chas Clements  
**Subject:** BLACKwall of NON communication

Good day Lawyer Doc Miller,

Attached are several exhibits germane to the issue at hand, which we are working on today; besides of course, the Rich Wyatt debacle.

I cannot quite understand why you continually refuse to receive or READ information and exhibits critical to competent representation of me, or my Strawman Transmitting Utility, in relation to active cases in Jefferson County District Court.

Perhaps you have an explanation, other than the "I don't read" that you have been giving me for over two years now. It is critical that an attorney read discovery, details and pleadings in order to provide competent legal counsel.

Your anger at having received 20 pages of a 104 page document that you should have read two years ago, i.e. Supreme Court Brief, via FAX is inexcusable. You shredded your copy of it without ever reading it and then you ask me over and over to repeat the details of my case contained therein. I cannot find any justification for your anger at having received the answers you asked for while preparing the final motion in this case. You received another copy of it via FAX simply because you shredded the first copy, and have either trashed or misplaced the last THREE copies of it that I have given you during the last two years. Everything you ask about is contained in that document; times, dates, places, people and events. Everything you repeatedly ask me about, mis-type or mis-quote, is included in that document. I have asked you at least a dozen times if you have read it and your answer is always "No."

Doc, I don't understand.

Please explain to me why you will NOT read that Brief and why you refuse to get the facts straight and in order. I think you owe me that after all the effort, time, recourses, referrals and money that I have brought to your fledgling law firm.

Even now, I must send this communication to your significant other, in hopes that Judith Phillips may relay it to you. I have set you up with a free email account, a free website and then I have paid for your professional website and email for over a year. I have connected your computer to the internet and set your email up on at least ten occasions. You keep disconnecting your computer and refusing to communicate on line. Now, you have shut your FAX machine off and refuse to communicate with me regarding information that you are continually asking me to provide you . . . the SAME material, by the way, that is contained within the Supreme Court Brief that you first refused to read, then sent to the shredder, then refused to read on at least three other occasions that I provided it to you in hard copy and NOW you are angry that you have received the first 20pages of by FAX.

Doc, how many tens of thousands of dollars has the STATE paid you to read my pleadings and deal with my case? Don't you think as a matter of integrity that you should at least read those 120 pages? You have had the time to spend that money and to read the Alamo, de Toqueville, and other novels and watch hundreds of movies . . . why do you consider my life and freedom so lightly?

Please let me know how to respond Doc. How would you like me to provide you with the information you request in order to provide me with competent counsel?

[www.kuntaosilat.net](http://www.kuntaosilat.net)